	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/500,296	YAMAZAKI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Zachary Skelding	1644
All Participants:	Status of Application:	pending
(1) <u>Zachary Skelding</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Nicki Capitano</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 14 September 2006	Time: <u>@ 12 pm</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		C
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		•
Part II.		1
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT W	/AS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa</li> </ul>	record of the substance of the	ritten summary of the substance
Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	t/Applicant's Representative	Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Asked Nicki to submit translation of JP2001-41689 referred to in applicant's remarks of July 12, 2006 but apparently not submitted/not in IFW. Also, asked Nicki to submit prior art citation A7 listed on applicant's IDS of January 13, 2006 but apparently not submitted/ not in IFW.